

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,535	11/25/2003	Lewis Schneller	SCL-100	5347
7	590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
Jean Kyle			CRANMER, LAURIE K	
Saliwanchik, Lloyd & Saliwanchik P.O. Box 2274			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Hamilton, MT 59840-4274			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
	10/721,535	SCHNELLER ET AL	]				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<i>J</i>				
	Laurie K. Cranmer						
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addr	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep - Any reply received by the Office later than three months - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however  Internation.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory mining  Statutory period will apply and will expire SI  Iy will, by statute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>25 November 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from considerat						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir  11) The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			1				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priorit</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	y documents have been receiv y documents have been receiv s of the prionty documents hav onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National S a)).	itage				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/03.</li> </ol>	(PTO-948) P or PTO/SB/08) 5)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1) ther:	152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 4-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the claims "said flap" has no definite antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the indicia attached my hook and loop fasteners, and the indicia attached by elasticized loops must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Middleton.

The flap portion is item 28, which is "capable of" having indicia attached thereto, the back panel is item 20 and the front portion is the upper portion of item 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/721,535

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Middleton as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Waters.

Waters teaches a seat back for a chair including a flap 36 with indicia (a place card, col. 4, lines 35-37) attached thereto, wherein the flap has a transparent window 38 with a pocket for attaching an item thereto (a place card) to be old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Middleton device such that it had a window pocket for attachment of indicia as taught to be old by Waters thereby providing the obvious advantage of facilitating indicia display and attachment.

To attach the indicia by hook and loop fasteners or elasticized loop fasteners is considered to be an obvious mechanical expedient since Waters teaches temporary attachment means for the back flap to be "buttons 42, hook and loop fastener material"

Art Unit: 3636

(e.g. Velcro, tm) and/or snaps 46" (col. 4, lines 50-51), by way of example. Clearly, one of ordinary skill in the art would choose an appropriate known mechanical fastener, such as Velcro or elasticized loop fasteners.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is allowed.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dwyer, Erickson et al, Weinstein and Mitchell all teach devices similar to that of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie K. Cranmer whose telephone number is 703-308-2115. The examiner can normally be reached on T-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Laurie K. Cranmer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

LKC 5/5/04